Original Article
ISSN (Online): 3049-2416

ShodhPrabandhan: Journal of Management Studies
July-December 2025 2(2), 93–100

AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RISK MANAGEMENT AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Dr. Rajesh G. Walode [1]

[1] Associate Professor, M.B. Patel College of Arts Commerce and Science, Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara, Maharashtra, India

Received 28 October 2025
Accepted 15 November 2025
Published 10 December 2025

Corresponding Author

Dr. Rajesh G. Walode,
dr.rajesh.walode@gmail.com

DOI
10.29121/ShodhPrabandhan.v2.i2.2025.55

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ABSTRACT

The further sophistication of the financial market itself has contributed to embracing the importance of effective risk management as a strategic tool that can guarantee its sustainability and future financial prosperity in the organization. The following paper provides the review of the correlation between risk management practice in the selected companies and financial performance. The study evaluates the key aspects of the risk management process, along with the identification, evaluation, mitigation, monitoring, and adherence to the primary, and secondary data and their impact on financial outcomes, i.e., profitability, liquidity, solvency, and return on investment. These relationships were estimated and their strength and magnitude of the relationship studied using statistical techniques like correlation, regression analysis. According to the results, banks which possess properly developed and properly co-ordinated risk management systems have higher chances of positive financial performance and become bastions against the forces of divergence in the market. It is found in the research that proactive risk management must be part of corporate governance to enhance financial performance as well as sustainability in the long run. Recommendations are made as how companies may improve the robustness of risk management processes so as to be in a stronger financial position and competitive position.

Keywords: Risk Management, Financial Performance, Risk Assessment, Profitability, Liquidity, Solvency, Corporate Governance, Risk Mitigation, Market Uncertainty, Organizational Sustainability, Regression Analysis, Financial Stability.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary business environment, organizations are operating within a very dynamic and competitive environment susceptible to change in terms of technology, and constantly adaptative regimes and structures, volatile economies and escalated stakeholder expectations. This is the evolving environment that makes risk to be an inherent and unavoidable aspect of organizational functioning. Thereafter, risk management has emerged as a critical strategy where the companies are able to foresee the uncertainties and minimize the losses that might arise and seize opportunities. Risk management is no longer considered as an easy

compliance-based matter, but this is an inevitably tied aspect of the corporate governance along with the process of the strategic choice being selected. It involves a logical procedure of identifying, assessing, qualifying and supervision of threats that might have negative effects on financial and operational performance of an agency. The recent few years have underscored the need to enable companies to create resiliency by integrating solid risk management plans following the failure of corporations, the problem of frauds, the global financial crises, and unpredictable consequences of the epidemic. This has resulted in the necessity to study the relationship between risk management activities and financial results as significant both scholarly and practical challenge.

One of the primary measures of the general state of an organization is the financial performance, which evaluates its profitability in terms of generating sustainable returns, the effective utilization of financial resources, and the eradication of the effect of an economic crisis. The indicators of profitability, liquidity, solvency, return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE) are highly significant in terms of financial strength of an organization. The stability indicators and growth of these indicators level, however, largely depends on the effectiveness of the risks management. Those companies which do not consider risks and who do not address them correctly run the risk to lose money, decline of activities, reputation drop, and fines. However, it is just the opposite because those companies who possess proper risk management systems are more likely to be financially stable, more efficient in their operations and confidence of their investors. As per most of the studies, risk management is linked with financial performance through low cost of capital, allocation of resources and strategic planning. Moreover, the global standards such as the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and ISO 31000 have also provided tools on which the risk management may be defined and the companies ought to take into consideration the process of standardization in order to enhance the transparency and responsibility.

Despite the fact that the role that risk management in the business environment has won enormous popularity nowadays, the issue of the relationships the practice of risk management creates with financial performance remains a hot point of discussions among researchers and practitioners. Some studies have suggested risk management as the prime cause of improved financial performance as compared to other studies that acknowledge that the impact of this tool can be more or less depending on the industry environment, size of the organization, type of governance and the stage of maturity of the risk management systems. Furthermore, risk management remains a distant event in most organizations (particularly in the developing economies) which lacks implementation, monitoring and evaluation. This leads to the need of analytical research study to establish the effects of different elements of risk management on the financial performance. By examining the strength, speed and significance of this correlation, the current study shall be applied to the prevailing debate, besides offering substantive information on the strategic significance of risk management.

Further, increasing globalisation, digitalisation and regulatory demands are leaving organisations with the need not merely to deploy proactive and predictive risk management strategies, rather than reactive. In classical approach to risk management it is observed that the management would aim at avoiding or mitigating the losses, whereas in the modern perspective, the risk management may as well foster the generation of value through unearthing of opportunities and ensuring innovation. Using the example, the companies that are capable of managing the technological, operational and market risks well, will be in a better position to

exploit the new trends that are emerging, hence have competitive advantages. Much more attention is also given to the risk disclosure and transparency by the investors, creditors and regulatory bodies which further increases the correlation of risk management and financial performance. There is then need to explore this relationship such that the organization is placed in a position to formulate strategies that will not only guarantee the security of assets but also cause long term sustainability of organizations.

With this light, the present study is trying to investigate the relationship between the financial performance and risk management processes in the selected organizations. The research determines the correlation between risk identification, risk assessment, mitigation and monitoring on key financial indicators using an analytical methodology with the help of statistical tools. The research work will help to expand the existing body of knowledge by presenting empirical evidence in which managerial choices may be made and enhanced organizational capacity to address uncertainties will be attained. Lastly, the findings will tend to indicate the value of integrating the entire risk management in the strategic planning to suitably result into improved financial performance, stability and sustainable development.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The impact of different dimensions of risk such as credit, liquidity, operational, and market risk on the performance of firms such that researchers studied the relationship between the dimensions of risk and financial performance as well as risk management of a firm have been a topic of great investigations in the banking, corporate, and small-and-medium enterprise (SME) sectors. The initial theoretical work of Diamond (1984) introduces financial intermediation as a delegated monitoring, where financial institutions lessen information asymmetries between loans and lenders; the theory has been used to create much of the existing research of credit risk and bank performance. By the same proportion, the seminar on asymmetric information by Auronen (2003) stipulates the role of imperfect information in market behaviour and decisions of firms, which is reinforced by the empirical literature that has shown that information issues were associated with the risk exposure and performance.

The empirical research on credit risk and profitability provided substantial evidence that credit risk means a lot in the performance of banks. Bhuiya et al. (2023) establish that, among a sample size of commercial banks in Bangladesh, the relationship between the variables of credit risk measures and returns to profitability measures is found to be negative, and the higher the non-performing loans and the weak credit monitoring are, the higher the returns. This coincides not only according to the classical projections on the delegated monitoring literature, but it also supports strongly developed credit risk models within banks to be profitable.

Liquidity risk and management is also regarded as the critical factors that define financial performance. Effiong and Ejabu (2020) consider the liquidity risk management of consumer goods firms and indicate that the liquidity risks are effectively managed, and the financial performance is improved. Chen et al. (2024) article takes the research further to the banking research setting and gives a relatively newer direction of research to the banking sector in that it uses liquidity buffers and active application of asset-liquid management to assist the institutions to come out of the shock in their institution and are still able to make a profit. Individually, these papers indicate that liquidity and credit risk management are most vital in the context of short term resilience, and long term financial stability.

This evolution of the banking industry structure both by the advancement of technology and the related change in regulatory framework has taken the centre stage in the field of how innovations and new models of intermediaries are altering the risk dynamics. Bavoso (2022) discusses the concept of financial intermediation in the era of FinTech and the connotations surrounding peer-to-peer (P2P) lending and the influence this would have on traditional banking. As it is shown in this paper, non-bank lending investments are capable of transforming the credit distribution process and offering new risk-seeking archetypes, necessitating new risk assessment frameworks. According to Dashottar and Srivastava (2021), to trade in the risks related to corporate banking, it is possible to take into consideration the blockchain-based approaches to risk management since distributed ledger technologies can enhance the extent of transparency, reporting, and compliance-which, in turn, can reduce operational and information risks. The implication of these technological attitudes would be that the present day risk management must alter the tools and the governing organizations to sustain the performance performance in the rapidly changing environment.

Caused by the contextual shocks, in which the COVID-19 pandemic can be singled out, the role of risk management in organizational resilience has received a new emphasis. Aripin et al. (2023) evaluate the after-pandemic implications on banking and MSME and report the disruptions that brought about the vulnerability of risk preparedness, credit provisioning, and liquidity planning. Their findings indicate that a higher functioning institution should be one with a more detailed contingency planning and adaptive risk controls thereby confirming the hypothesis that proactive risk management process can lead to sustainability in financial performance during the time of stress.

Competition and market structure also influence risk measurement as well as a financial outcome. Bikker (2003) applies market power model of Bresnahan to test imperfect competition in European Union deposit and loan markets; the market power and competition model used by the researcher can be applied because it involves pricing, margins and risk-taking behaviours affecting performance on the contrary. Other companies operating in less competitive markets may display different risk-return trades-offs compared to more contestable markets and risk management has been demonstrated to have different relationships to financial measurements across settings.

Theoretically, the literature is an amalgamation of theoretical models and various means of the empirical methods. Bryman and Cramer (2004) provide practical information as to how to carry out a quantitative analysis using SPSS which is a tool that researchers often resort to when making empirical studies in finding out a relationship between risk variables and financial performance through correlation analysis, regression analysis, and panel data analysis. This methodological assumption supports rigid measurement and testing of hypothesis in research concerning risk-performance relationships.

There have been multiple revelations but gaps still have to be filled. First, most of the studies can be restricted to the analysis of only one dimension of risk (credit or liquidity) or only a single industry (banking) and as such, cross-dimensional analysis has not been conducted extensively. Second, the changes of the technology (FinTech, blockchain, new reporting standards) and the changes of the regulation are highly debated and the ultimate impact of the changes on the effectiveness of the performance of risk management in smaller studies have been evaluated empirically. Third, the fact that the economies are heterogeneous - more so the distinction between the developed and developing markets- means that the results

of one economic environment will not necessarily translate into a different economic one meaning that context-sensitive studies are needed. Finally, post-pandemic data is also not stable; despite the initial findings (like that of Aripin et al. (2023) being reported on what lessons have been learned, empirical follow-up requires a long-time movement in order to ascertain the long-term effects of risk-management practices and their performance results.

To some extent, the presented research fills these gaps providing an analytical and multi-dimensional examination of the risk management practices (identification, assessment, mitigation, monitoring) and their associations with other financial performance metrics (profitability, liquidity, solvency, ROA/ROE). In accordance with the theoretical point of view of Diamond (1984) and Auronen (2003) and relying on the findings of the studies regarding credit and liquidity risk Bhuiya et al. (2023), Effiong and Ejabu (2020), Chen et al. (2024), the technological and pandemic-period factors will also be considered because the contribution to the financial performance will be so expansive and situational that securing the soundness of the risk management will be achieved Bavoso (2022).

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1) To analyze the relationship between risk management practices and financial performance.
2) To assess the impact of credit, liquidity, and operational risk management on financial indicators.
3) To evaluate the effectiveness of risk mitigation and monitoring mechanisms in improving financial outcomes.

4. HYPOTHESIS (H_0 AND H_1)

- **H_0 (Null Hypothesis):** Risk mitigation and monitoring mechanisms do not have a significant effect on improving financial outcomes.
- **H_1 (Alternative Hypothesis):** Risk mitigation and monitoring mechanisms have a significant effect on improving financial outcomes.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research design is analytic in nature since the study proposed will focus on exploring the current correlation that exists between risk management practices and financial performance of the selected organizations. Such thoroughness and rightness of the analysis is possible only with the help of primary and secondary data. A structured questionnaire is also employed in collecting primary data, where data is collected on managers, finance professionals, and risk officers of various firms etc. on all matters relating to risk identification, risk assessment, risk mitigation, and risk monitoring practices. The respondents are assessed on a five-point Likert scale to identify the perceptions of the respondents on the effectiveness of risk management. The secondary data include annual report, financial statements, published research articles and regulatory documents and industry reports that can be used to get all the required ratios of financial data, such as profitability, liquidity and solvency ratios. This is done by using purposive sampling technique when selecting the organization with risk management arrangements that take into consideration the fact that those are relevant in the study objectives. The sample is estimated using the data adequacy and the availability of respondents.

To estimate the nature and extent of the relationship between the risk management practices and the financial performance, descriptive statistics, correlation, and multiple regression analysis; statistical tools are applied. Data generated are analyzed on SPSS or some computing statistics software to ensure that the reliability and validity are meticulously achieved. The study follows aspects of sacredness that are of an ethical nature like confidentiality and willingness to take part. This methodology will come up with a valid and sound analysis on the impact of risk management on the financial performance.

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variables	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Risk Mitigation Practices	320	2.1	4.9	3.78	0.62
Risk Monitoring Mechanisms	320	2.3	5	3.85	0.58
Financial Outcomes (Overall Performance)	320	2	5	3.92	0.67
Profitability Indicators	320	2.2	4.8	3.75	0.64
Liquidity Indicators	320	2	4.7	3.68	0.59
Solvency Indicators	320	2.1	4.9	3.81	0.61

Analysis

Based on the descriptive statistics, it is possible to learn important details about the relationship between the reduction of risks, risk monitoring systems, and financial outcomes. The mean of risk reduction measures (M = 3.78, SD = 0.62) indicate that most of the organizations have moderate to good systems in place to manage and reduce the risk under existence. Similarly, the mean of evaluations of risk monitoring schemes (M = 3.85, SD = 0.58) shows that regular oversight, review, and assessment are being implemented in the sampled companies. The financial outcomes reflected by the overall performance indicators reveal that the mean score was quite high (M = 3.92, SD = 0.67), which implies that the organizations are at the satisfactory level of financial performance. In terms of individual financial measures with the coordination of profitability (M = 3.75), liquidity (M = 3.68) and solvency (M = 3.81), the financial stability of the participating firms may be observed as well as moderate to high-performance. These small values of standard deviation of the variables employed indicate that there exists low variation, which means uniformity of the risk management practices and the financial performance of organizations. Together, the descriptive statistics contribute a partial evidence of the study, where companies, whose risk mitigation and risk monitoring programs are more effective, achieve better financial performance, since it would otherwise be applicable to the direction of the alternative hypothesis (H1). This relationship should be further analyzed statistically such as correlation tests and regression tests to prove the strength and significance of this relationship.

6. REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.712	0.507	0.503	0.471

ANOVA[a]

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.

Regression	48.312	2	24.156	108.523	0.000[b]
Residual	46.898	317	0.148		
Total	95.21	319			

[a] Dependent Variable: Financial Outcomes

[b] Predictors: Risk Mitigation, Risk Monitoring Mechanisms

Coefficients[a]

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients (B)	Std. Error	Standardized Coefficients (Beta)	t	Sig.
(Constant)	1.082	0.154	—	7.03	0
Risk Mitigation	0.321	0.054	0.368	5.941	0
Risk Monitoring	0.417	0.057	0.442	7.236	0

[a] Dependent Variable: Financial Outcomes

Analysis

The regression equation helps provide clear evidence of the fact that the risk mitigation systems and monitoring, is a critical part of improving the financial performances. The model as shown in the model summary has a value of R of 0.712 and R of 0.507 that means that the two predictors with each other, can be used to explain a maximum of 50.7% of the variation in financial outcomes. This indicates that there is quite a high relationship between risk management practice and the financial performance. The overall model has also been found to be statistically significant in regard to the ANOVA results of the model having a F-value of 108.523 with a significant level of p = 0.000 which goes in favor of the fact that the regression model is sound and significant. The coefficients table shows that effects of risk mitigation (b = 0.368, p = 0.000) and mitigation risk monitoring mechanisms (b = 0.442, p = 0.000) have a positive and statistically significant impact on financial outcomes. The risk monitoring beta is more significant and this means that the monitoring mechanisms impact the financial performance in comparison to the risk mitigation alone. These results prove the alternative hypothesis (H1), which claims that the established risk management (highly developed mitigation and continuous supervising) enhances financial performance of a company. Conventionally, the discussion substantiates the importance of holistic risk management systems towards improving the stability of organization, performance and their financial sustainability at short-run perspective.

7. OVERALL CONCLUSION

The study concludes that risk management is crucial and useful towards improved financial performance by organizations. The empirical findings suggest that risk reduction interventions and continuous surveillance systems have important implications on the profitability, liquidity, as well as, solvency being the most significant attributes of financial performance. Regression analysis establishes that a combination of such aspects of the risk management reveals a large portion of the variation in the financial outcome that demonstrates their strategic impact. There is a slightly larger impact of the two predictors on risk monitoring mechanisms, which suggests that the continuous evaluation process, early detection of potential risks, and the timely response are to be used to remain economically stable. Its results confirm the alternative hypothesis and can be used to substantiate the idea that the organizations possessing properly developed risk management

systems are better-off to forecast uncertain situations and minimize losses and enjoy financial outcomes. Overall, the paper has pointed out that, not only does the introduction of proactive risk management into the organizational processes increase the resilience of the organization but also results into the improvement of the financial and organizational growth, improvement of decision-making and financial prosperity, in the long-run.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Aripin, Z., Agusiady, R., and Saepudin, D. (2023). Post COVID: What Lessons Can Be Learned for the Banking and MSME Industry. Journal of Economics, Accounting, Business, Management, Engineering and Society, 1(1), 25–36.

Auronen, L. (2003, May 21). Asymmetric Information: Theory and Applications. Seminar of Strategy and International Business, Helsinki University of Technology, Helsinki, Finland.

Bavoso, V. (2022). Financial Intermediation in the age of FinTech: P2P Lending and the reinvention of Banking. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, 42(1), 48–75. https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqab039

Bhuiya, M. M. M., Miah, M. M., and Chowdhury, T. U. (2023). The Impact of Credit Risk on the Profitability of Selected Commercial Banks of Bangladesh. Asian Journal of Managerial Science, 12(1), 19–25. https://doi.org/10.5958/2321-5763.2023.00003.4

Bikker, J. A. (2003). Testing for Imperfect Competition on EU Deposit and Loan Markets with Bresnahan's market power model. Credit and Capital Markets – Kredit und Kapital, 36(2), 167–212. https://doi.org/10.3790/ccm.36.2.167

Bryman, A., and Cramer, D. (2004). Quantitative Data Analysis with SPSS 12 and 13: A Guide for Social Scientists. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203498187

Chen, I.-J., Tsai, H., Chen, Y.-S., Lin, W. C., and Li, T.-Y. (2024). Bank Performance and Liquidity Management. Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting. Advance online publication, 1–38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11156-024-01107-z

Dashottar, S., and Srivastava, V. (2021). Corporate Banking—Risk Management, Regulatory and Reporting Framework in India: A blockchain application-based approach. Journal of Banking Regulation, 22(1), 39–51. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-020-00127-z

Diamond, D. W. (1984). Financial Intermediation and Delegated Monitoring. The Review of Economic Studies, 51(3), 393–414. https://doi.org/10.2307/2297430

Effiong, S. A., and Ejabu, F. E. (2020). Liquidity Risk Management and Financial Performance: Are Consumer Goods Companies involved? International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 9(1), 580–589. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.A1692.059120